

Brief Guide to ESSA Identifications

Wisconsin's Long-Term Goals

School identifications under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) are part of a statewide accountability system mandated under <u>Title I. Part A of ESSA</u>. The accountability system, articulated in the Wisconsin Department of Instruction (DPI) <u>ESSA State Plan</u>, is designed to make progress toward three long-term goals:

Academic Achievement Goal: Cut the achievement gap in half for each subgroup within eight years.

- For English Language Arts (ELA), this means a 1% annual increase in grade-level proficiency for the all-students group. Higher annual increases are required for subgroups—ranging from 1.6% for Asian students to 4% for black students.
- For mathematics, it means a 1% annual increase in proficiency rate for the all-students group. Higher annual increases are required for subgroups—ranging from 1.4% for Asian students to 4.2% for black students.

Graduation Rate Goal: Cut the graduation gap in half within eight years.

English Language Proficiency Goal: Achieve an 18% increase in students on track to proficiency within eight years. This translates to a three-point annual increase.

Note: Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the original six-year timeline for these goals was extended to June 2025.

Identifying Schools for Support and Improvement

Using indicators based on the goals above, the Department of Public Instruction (DPI) identifies the schools that need the most help in making progress. In general, the DPI identifies schools based on low graduation rates, low overall performance, and low performance of student groups. The calculations involved in identifications use multiple years of data (wherever possible) to ensure that identifications are meaningful and not overly influenced by year-to-year variability.

By identifying schools for support and improvement, and ensuring they develop and implement improvement plans based on the reasons for their identification, the DPI aims to make sure that Wisconsin's schools make steady progress toward achieving the long-term goals in the ESSA State Plan.

Districts are key to sustained school improvement, and the DPI encourages alignment of improvement efforts at the district and school level. This includes alignment of improvement efforts related to ESSA school-level identifications with any district-level efforts under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA).

The tables below outline the basics of the three types of ESSA identifications, including associated responsibilities for schools, districts, and the DPI. More details are available on the Office of Educational Accountability (OEA) Federal Accountability (ESSA) webpage and the Continuous Improvement website.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)

Why was my school identified?	Responsibilities	How can my school exit this identification?
School Identification Method	School Has Primary Responsibility	If a school has two CSI identifications, it must meet exit requirements for
	At minimum, schools remain identified for two years. A school making satisfactory progress will exit by the end	each.
School meets one or more of the	of the fourth year. Grant funding is available each year of identification. Required improvement activities:	
following:	Consult and involve stakeholders in all aspects of improvement planning and implementation. ESSA	Criterion 1: The school must not be eligible for identification in the current
	requires specific stakeholders to be involved.	year.
Low Graduation Rate: Graduation	Complete a comprehensive needs assessment and root cause analysis. Root causes should be grounded	Low Graduation Rate: The school's average four- and seven-year
rate less than or equal to 67%	in data and focused on educator practices contributing to the issues that led to identification.	graduation rate is at least 67 percent, OR (if a seven-year graduation
Lowest Performance: Overall	Review resource allocation for inequities, to be addressed in the improvement plan. This may include The local local plan with a local plan with a solution of the improvement plan.	rate is not available) the four-year graduation rate is at least 67
performance score in the bottom 5%	· · · · ·	 percent. Lowest Performance: The current year's summary score for the
of schools receiving Title I, Part A funds	 Develop a comprehensive improvement plan that modifies practices, policies, and/or professional development to address the issues that led to the CSI identification. This may be a new plan or modified 	all-students group is above the identification threshold for the
Turius	existing plan. Changes can be strategically phased over multiple years for sustainability.	current year AND above the threshold for the year the school was
Identifications are normally made every	 The plan must include evidence of the required activities above. 	first identified.
three years.	 The plan must be informed by indicators defined in the ESSA State Plan (e.g., data contained in the 	in structured.
	ESSA Accountability Report.) ¹	Criterion 2: The school must make sustained and sustainable improvement
Schools may have two CSI identifications	 The plan must include one or more evidence-based improvement strategy that meet ESSA <u>Tiers of</u> 	toward the statewide long-term goals.
if they meet both low graduation rate	Evidence 1, 2, or 3.2 Strategies should address identified root cause(s) that are grounded in data	Low Graduation Rate:
and low performance thresholds.	and focused on educator practices contributing to the issues that led to identification.	 The school's four-year and seven-year graduation rates both
	To support successful implementation, the plan should include the following:	exceed the initial rates that led to the school's identification in
Schools identified for CSI may also meet	 A solid theory of action to reach a strategic goal 	both of the most recent two years for which enough data are
criteria for other identifications, but the	 Action steps, timelines, and responsibilities 	available, OR
CSI identification supersedes.	 Adequate professional learning and coaching support 	The school shows improvement in graduation rates in both of the
	 Regular collection and analysis of data to monitor implementation and impact 	two most recent intervals. • Lowest Performance:
	• Implement the improvement plan. This includes collecting educator practice data and student outcome	 The school's performance exceeds the initial performance that led
	data for analysis and adjusting action steps and future plans accordingly.	to the school's identification in both of the most recent two years
	• Improve outcomes, meeting Exit Criteria 1 and 2.	for which enough data are available, OR
	Ensure sustainable systems and procedures are in place for continued improvement, meeting Exit Criteria 2	The school shows improvement in both of the two most recent
	Criteria 3.	intervals.
	District/LEA Has Primary Responsibility	
	Inform schools of identification.	Criterion 3: The school must demonstrate evidence of systems, structures,
	Participate on school improvement teams as needed, and track school progress.	and/or procedures that ensure sustained and sustainable high-quality
	• Review and approve improvement plans prior to submission for DPI approval. Support alignment of plans.	improvement planning and practices are in place.
	Facilitate access to any needed technical assistance, coaching, or other supports.	
	Review resource allocation at the LEA level for inequities and take action to address issues.	DPI consultants determine progress to exit on Exit Criterion 3, based on
	Manage grants, including application, budgeting, reports, claims, etc.	submitted materials and evidence from monitoring. DPI's Office of
	Submit other required documentation.	Educational Accountability calculates progress to exit for Exit Criteria 1 and 2 and includes it in the school's Progress-to-Exit Report.
	DPI Has Primary Responsibility	and 2 and includes it in the school's Flogress-to-Exit Kepolt.
	Review and approve plans.	More exit details are available in the guidance document "Exiting an ESSA"
	Monitor plan implementation.	Identification."
	Provide funding.	
	Ensure availability of technical assistance and professional development.	
	Assess and report school progress toward meeting exit criteria.	
	Monitor LEAs for CSI responsibilities through review of grant-related documentation.	

Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI)

Why was my school identified?	Responsibilities	How can my school exit this identification?
School Identification Method	School Has Primary Responsibility	If a school has multiple ATSI identifications, it must meet exit
	A school making satisfactory progress will exit the identification by the end of the sixth year. If the school	requirements for each student group resulting in an identification.
In schools identified for ATSI, one or	does not exit within that time frame, it is identified for CSI. Required improvement activities:	
more of the student groups below are	Consult and involve stakeholders in all aspects of improvement planning and implementation.	Criterion 1: The school must not be eligible for identification in the current
performing in the bottom 5% of	ESSA requires specific stakeholders to be involved.	year.
schools receiving Title I, Part A funds.	Review resource allocation for inequities, to be addressed in the improvement plan. This may	The student group has a summary score that is above the ATSI
Charlent	include review at the LEA level. Inequities should be addressed in the improvement plan.	identification threshold in both the current year and the year of
Student groups:	Develop a targeted improvement plan that modifies practices, policies, and/or professional	initial identification. (New thresholds are determined for each
Black/African American Himponia (Latina)	development to address the issues that led to the identification. This may be a new plan or	identification cycle.)
Hispanic/LatinoAmerican Indian/Alaska Native	modified existing plan. Changes can be strategically phased over multiple years for sustainability. o The plan must be informed by indicators defined in the ESSA State Plan (e.g., data contained in	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	the ESSA Accountability Report) ¹ and must address each student group for which the school	Criterion 2: The school must make sustained and sustainable
Asian	has an identification.	improvement toward the statewide long-term goals.
White	 The plan must include one or more evidence-based improvement strategy that meet ESSA <u>Tiers</u> 	The student group's performance score on each applicable
Two or More Races	of Evidence 1, 2, or 3.2 Strategies should address identified root cause(s) that are grounded in	long-term goal either shows improvement in both of the two most
Students with Disabilities	data and focused on educator practices contributing to the issues that led to identification.	recent intervals OR exceeds the identification-year score in both of
Economically Disadvantaged	 To support successful implementation, the plan should include the following: 	the most recent two years for which enough data are available.
English Learners	A solid theory of action to reach a strategic goal	the most recent two years for which enough data are available.
2 English Learners	 Action steps, timelines, and responsibilities 	Criterion 3: The school must demonstrate evidence of systems, structures,
Identifications are normally made	 Adequate professional learning and coaching support 	and/or procedures that ensure sustained and sustainable high-quality
every three years.	 Regular collection and analysis of data to monitor implementation and impact 	improvement planning and practices, targeting the identified subgroup(s),
	• Implement the improvement plan. This includes collecting educator practice data and student	are in place.
Schools may have multiple ATSI	outcome data for analysis and adjusting action steps and future plans accordingly.	·
identifications if they meet the	• Improve outcomes, meeting Exit Criteria 1 and 2.	Districts determine progress to exit on Exit Criterion 3, based on
thresholds for more than one student	• Ensure sustainable systems and procedures are in place for continued improvement, meeting Exit	submitted materials and evidence from monitoring. DPI's Office of
group.	Criterion 3.	Educational Accountability calculates progress to exit for Exit Criteria
		1 and 2 and includes it in the school's Progress-to-Exit Report.
	District/LEA Has Primary Responsibility	
	Inform schools of identification.	More exit details are available in the guidance document "Exiting an
	Participate on school improvement teams as needed.	ESSA Identification."
	 Facilitate access to any needed technical assistance, coaching, or other supports. 	
	Review and approve plans. Support alignment of plans.	
	Monitor plan implementation.	
	Review resource allocation at the district level for inequities and take action to address issues.	
	Assess progress toward meeting exit criteria to ensure exit within 6 years. Proportion of the confidence of the DRI of the proportion of the DRI o	
	Report progress-to-exit data on Criterion 3 to DPI as requested.	
	DPI Has Primary Responsibility	
	Ensure availability of technical assistance and professional development.	
	Monitor LEAs for ATSI responsibilities through ESEA monitoring.	

Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)

Why was my school identified?	Responsibilities	How can my school exit this identification?
School Identification Method	School Has Primary Responsibility	Schools exit this identification automatically if they are not
	A school making satisfactory progress will exit the identification within the number of years specified by	re-identified in the following year.
In schools identified for TSI, one or	the LEA (LEA Plan Question 15.2). If it does not, the LEA takes additional action. Required improvement	
more of the student groups below are	activities:	More exit details are available in the guidance document "Exiting an
performing in the bottom 10% of all	Consult and involve stakeholders in all aspects of improvement planning and implementation. ESSA	ESSA Identification."
students and in the bottom 10% of that	requires specific stakeholders to be involved.	
subgroup, statewide.	 Develop and implement a targeted improvement plan that modifies practices, policies, and/or 	
	professional development to address the issues that led to the identification. This may be a new plan	
Student groups:	or modified existing plan. Changes can be strategically phased over multiple years for sustainability.	
 Black/African American 	 The plan must be informed by indicators defined in the ESSA State Plan (e.g., data contained in 	
 Hispanic/Latino 	the ESSA Accountability Report) ¹ and must address each student group for which the school has	
 American Indian/Alaska Native 	an identification.	
 Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander 	 The plan must include one or more evidence-based improvement strategy (practice, program, or 	
 Asian 	strategy) that meet ESSA <u>Tiers of Evidence 1, 2, or 3.</u> ² Strategies should address identified root	
White	cause(s), which should be grounded in data and focused on educator practices contributing to	
 Two or More Races 	the issues that led to identification.	
 Students with Disabilities 	 To support successful implementation, the plan should include the following: 	
 Economically Disadvantaged 	 A solid theory of action to reach a strategic goal 	
 English Learners 	 Action steps, timelines, and responsibilities 	
	 Adequate professional learning and coaching support 	
Identifications are normally made each	 Regular collection and analysis of data to monitor implementation and impact 	
year.	Improve outcomes, no longer meeting criteria for TSI identification.	
()	Note: More details are outlined in <u>DPI's Continuous Improvement Process Criteria and Rubric.</u>	
Schools may have multiple TSI		
identifications if they meet the	District/LEA Has Primary Responsibility	
thresholds for more than one student	Inform schools of identification.	
group.	Participate on school improvement teams as needed.	
	Facilitate access to any needed technical assistance, coaching, or other supports.	
	Review and approve plans. Support alignment of plans.	
	Monitor plan implementation.	
	Assess progress toward exit and take additional action if a school does not exit within the number of	
	years specified by the LEA.	
	DPI Has Primary Responsibility	
	Ensure availability of technical assistance and professional development.	
	Monitor LEAs for TSI responsibilities through ESEA monitoring.	

¹Each school's ESSA Accountability Report, available in <u>SAFE</u>, contains data on applicable indicators related to the statewide long-term goals. More information is available in the <u>ESSA Accountability Report Guide</u>.

²The tiers-of-evidence requirement is a 1003 funding-related requirement (<u>20 U.S.C. §7801(21)(B)</u>).

Stakeholders (applies to all identification types)

Specific groups that must be included	Additional groups to include, depending on local context
 Teachers, including those for general and special education and English learners School administrators Other school staff Students (if age-appropriate) Families (must include representatives of specific student groups present in the school) 	 Community health organizations Community-based organizations, including early childhood programs and providers and libraries Neighborhood representatives, including neighboring and local businesses Local and relevant environmental organizations Tribal Government representatives: Tribal Chairs or Presidents (or their designees), Tribal Council representatives, Tribal Education Directors and staff Government entities, including state agencies, counties, and municipalities Adjunct school services such as before and after school child care providers and community recreation centers Relevant institutions of higher education Workforce investment boards and other job-related agencies Faith-based communities

(ESSA State Plan, p. 52)